

**ACNP Council Meeting Minutes**  
**Saturday, December 4, 2021**  
**9:00am – 1:00pm Atlantic Standard Time**  
**Caribe Hilton – Conference 8-10**

*Linda Brady, Presiding*

Carrie Bearden  
Ellen Leibenluft  
Helen Mayberg  
Maria Oquendo  
Mary Phillips  
Diego Pizzagalli  
Kerry Ressler  
Trevor Robbins (*virtual attendance*)  
David Rubinow (*virtual attendance*)  
Rita Valentino  
Marina Wolf (*virtual attendance*)  
Carlos Zarate

Ad Hoc: David Kupfer  
Executive Director: Sarah Timm  
Staff: Erin Shaw

Council-Elect: William Carlezon (Council-Elect have voice but no vote)

Apologies: Marina Picciotto

***Conflict of Interest Forms were reviewed prior to start of the meeting by Linda Brady, President, Rita Valentino, Secretary, and Sarah Timm, Executive Director, per the Conflict of Interest Policy for Council.***

- 1. Membership Committee Report to Council*** – Anne Andrews reported to Council the result of the Membership Committee meeting on Thursday, December 2<sup>nd</sup>. A. Andrews advised that the committee reviewed 70 applications for Associate Member, 65 applications for Member and 9 applications for Member to Fellow promotion that were not automatically accepted. Out of 70 applications for Associate Member, there were 39 applications for women, 9 applications from URMs, 1 application from industry and 6 applications from government. Out of 65 applications for Member, there were 34 applications from women, 8 applications from URMs, 3 applications from industry and 5 applications from government. A. Andrews advised that the committee reviewed the 5 URM applications that were not in the ‘accept’ group at the beginning of the Associate Member applicant discussion and the 3 URM applications not in the ‘accept’ group at the beginning of the Member applicant discussion. A. Andrews advised that the committee is recommending accepting all 71 open spots for new members split between 39 Associate Members and 32

Members. The Associate Member acceptance rate is 56%. 51% of the females who applied for associate membership were accepted and 61% of the males. 89% of the URM's who applied for associate membership were accepted. The Member acceptance rate is 49%. 47% of the females who applied for full membership were accepted and 52% of the males. 63% of the URM's who applied for full membership were accepted. A. Andrews advised that the College still needs to encourage growth from industry applicants. She also advised that the committee might need continued education on applications from extramural government, and it will be important to continue to have representation from government and industry on the Membership Committee. The committee is also recommending promoting 29 of the 30 applicants for Fellow (97%). A. Andrews advised that applications for associate membership have been strong the past few years and continued to be very strong candidates this year. She advised that some of the applications for full membership were harder to evaluate and that more discussion was had when discussing the full Member applicants this year. It was questioned if the applications for associate membership are stronger, did the committee question why they did not apply for full member. A. Andrews suggested to admit more Associate Members in the future as the College could have missed opportunities with how the College is structured and the caps on how many new members we can accept. A. Andrews was concerned that some applicants for Associate Member that were not accepted might be discouraged and not consider applying in the future. S. Timm suggested that a subcommittee of the Membership Committee to be created to look at the applications for Associate Member and Member and how many we should accept in each category since in the past there was concern for a bottle neck of Associate Members trying to apply for full Member.

Below are the statistics for full Member:

- Member Acceptance Rate - 49% (32/65)
- Females Applied - 34 (52%)
- Females Accepted into Membership - 16 (47%) (16/34)
- Females Rejected - 18 (53%) (18/34)
- Males Applied - 31 (48%)
- Males Accepted into Membership - 16 (52%) (16/31)
- Males Rejected - 15 (48%) (15/31)
- URM Applied - 8 (12%)
- URM Accepted into Membership - 5 (63%) (5/8)
- URM Rejected - 3 (38%) (3/8)

Below are the statistics for Associate Member:

- Associate Member Acceptance Rate - 56% (39/70)
- Females Applied - 39 (56%)
- Females Accepted into Membership - 20 (51%) (20/39)
- Females Rejected - 19 (49%) (19/39)
- Males Applied - 31 (44%)
- Males Accepted into Membership - 19 (61%) (19/31)

- Males Rejected - 12 (39%) (12/31)
- URM Applied - 9 (13%)
- URM Accepted into Membership - 8 (89%) (8/9)
- URM Rejected - 1 (11%) (1/9)

A. Andrews advised that the committee reviewed the feedback from the last annual meeting evaluation and recommended that we add information on the review process for membership applications to the procedure documents and add a question on diversity, equity, and inclusion to the applications. However, stated that perception among some non-members on the way membership is decided can still be a black box and the committee still has some work to do on disseminating the process on how members are selected. A. Andrews stated that URM applications for associate membership did a good job explaining their career trajectory. She also advised that the committee changed the rating scale from a 1-5 score to a 1-9 score to match NIH grant review scoring. It was stated that during the URM mini retreats, an important question was discussed asking if the metrics were fair for URM applicants as there is an intrinsic bias that URMs are not receiving R01 grant funding. A. Andrews advised that she shared a list of key topics that were discussed during the URM mini retreats with the Membership Committee to take into consideration when reviewing and to use a holistic approach. It was questioned what aspects A. Andrews would suggest to a URM to apply for membership that has not received a R01. A. Andrews suggested encouraging applicants to talk about any life circumstances in their application. She advised that some committee members still consider things on a person's application that you can quantify as more important such as h-index, publications, etc., while other committee members are more holistic when reviewing. It was questioned if the committee considered if a person applied in the past for membership during deliberations, and A. Andrews advised that information was on the membership applications.

Council reviewed the proposed list of new Associate Members, Members, and promotions to Fellow and all were in favor of approving the recommendations from the Membership Committee. Council was reminded of the confidentiality until after they are officially announced at the Business Meeting on Wednesday, December 8<sup>th</sup>.

**The accepted Associate Members are:**

Maithe Arruda-Carvalho  
 L. Cinnamon Bidwell  
 Clifford Cassidy  
 Uraina Clark  
 Vincent Costa  
 Meaghan Creed  
 Brenda Curtis  
 Anna Docherty  
 Jennifer Dwyer  
 Tory Eisenlohr-Moul  
 Neir Eshel  
 Negar Fani  
 Gregory Fonzo

Jay Fournier  
 Zachary Freyberg  
 Michael Gandal  
 Ronald Garcia  
 Andrea Goldstein-Piekarski  
 Tamar Green  
 Stephanie Groman  
 Tiffany Ho  
 Sahib Khalsa  
 Stefan Kloiber  
 Alan Lewis  
 Ryan Logan  
 Claudia Lugo-Candelas

Annmarie MacNamara  
Zoe McElligott  
Mike Michaelides  
Judith Morgan  
Carla Nasca  
Desmond Oathes  
Gaurav Patel

Catherine Pena  
Albert Powers  
Joseph Schacht  
Hugo Tejada  
Marco Venniro  
Kymberly Young

**The accepted Members are:**

Albert Arias  
Heather Brenhouse  
Samuel Chamberlain  
Joshua Cisler  
Kathryn Cullen  
Michael Davis  
Kristina Deligiannidis  
Christine DeLorenzo  
David Dietz  
Sophie Erhardt  
Craig Erickson  
Tomoyuki Furuyashiki  
Cassandra Gipson  
Georgia Hodes  
Guillermo Horga  
Stephen J Kanés

Joshua Kantrowitz  
Cecile Ladouceur  
Pamela Mahon  
Scott Moeller  
Tara Niendam  
Atsumi Nitta  
Sara Nixon  
Gahan Pandina  
Melissa Perreault  
Kathryn Reissner  
Jonathan Savitz  
Marisa Spann  
Michael Taffe  
Moriah Thomason  
James Waltz  
Robert Yolken

**The accepted Members to Fellow are:**

Olusola Ajilore  
Tanya Alim  
Robert Asarnow  
Staci Bilbo  
Doo-Sup Choi  
Joao de Quevedo  
Kim Q. Do  
Vicki Ellingrod  
Robert Findling  
Guy Griebel  
Yasmin Hurd  
Evelyn Lambe  
Scott Langenecker  
Lorenzo Leggio  
Chiang-shan Li

Falk Lohoff  
Angus MacDonald  
Keri Martinowich  
Subhash Pandey  
Paul Phillips  
Eugenii Rabiner  
Chris Pierce  
Laura Rowland  
Martha Sajatovic  
Christian Schmahl  
Mark Schmidt  
Daniel Umbricht  
Kimberly Yonkers  
Venetia Zachariou

***New Member Applications Reporting Requirements*** – The following questions are included in the applications for new Associate Member and Member that the applicants have to attest to or provide additional information.

- a. Have you ever been convicted of, plead guilty to, or are you currently being investigated for a felony or been denied admission to or suspended or expelled from any professional organization because of an ethical issue?
- b. Are there any unresolved or pending judgments, lawsuits, or other actions against you that relates to your personal and/or professional ethics?
- c. Have you ever been subject to any type of disciplinary action by an academic institution, a professional society, or other authoritative body because of financial conflicts of interests?

Council reviewed if these questions need to be revised to broaden outside of financial conflicts of interest to include other conflicts, specifically the third question. It was suggested to be more specific in the types of conflicts the College is looking for, but state that it is not an exhaustive list. It was questioned if Council would limit the time frame for ethics issue reporting. S. Timm advised that the Executive Office will propose new language to the Ethics Committee for their review before proposing to Council.

2. ***Journal Report*** – W. Carlezon presented the Editor's Report to Council. He advised that the 2020 impact factor for NPP is 7.853 and was announced on June 30, 2021, compared to the 2019 impact factor score of 6.751. He advised that currently the journal has received 1,287 submissions in 2021 compared to 1,462 in 2020 which was the highest ever received, 1,246 in 2019 and 1,205 in 2018. B. Carlezon presented the 2023 NPPR issue on Plasticity that will have M. Wolf and Anissa Abi-Dargham as co-Editors. He stated the journal has six podcasts per year and that they are popular with 10,000 listeners. He advised that Chloe Jordan was the journal's inaugural intern and that she has transitioned to Special Projects Manager. The journal received 31 applications for the internship in its second year and Sofiya Hupalo was selected. Other very qualified candidates were able to write a report on a topic that was passionate to them to be published in the journal. The journal worked with the NIH Institute Director's Josh Gordon, Nora Volkow and George Koob to publish the article, *Choosing appropriate language to reduce the stigma around mental illness and substance use disorders*, which already has a big impact with 13,000 downloads. B. Carlezon advised that the journal had 10 free subscriptions and consulted with the Diversity and Inclusion Task Force on who should receive them. It was decided to place all URM travel award applicants in a lottery to announce the 10 winners of the free subscription. The journal is writing another special project's report on how the COVID pandemic has affected authorship and the NPP reviewer pool. B. Carlezon advised that the College and NPP are adding around 1,000 new followers each year to social media. The 2021 NPP Award winners are Chadi Abdallah, M.D. (NEATOR), Lee Hogarth, Ph.D. (NEAR), and Zoe Donaldson, Ph.D. (NEECA). B. Carlezon presented the top 10 reviewers for NPP and advised that all 10 will receive gift cards. He noted that all top reviewers this year were men. It was questioned how many women were invited to review, and B. Carlezon advised that he can have the NPP Special Projects team review this. His goals for 2022 are to

transition the new EIC, continued efforts to improve DEI, which is a special project team priority, and continue to work with Springer Nature to identify themes that could provide sufficient content for an open-access spin-off journal. B. Carlezon also advised they are considering other areas for collections similar to the DEI collection. There was a question regarding the impact factor and B. Carlezon advised that some journals hold papers for around 18 months until publishing which increases the denominator in the impact factor. B. Carlezon advised that NPP citations are up this year.

- a.* Plan S update – S. Timm advised that Plan S has slowed down and things have changed in their process. She reminded Council that NPP became a transformative journal, so we are in the process of making the switch to an open access journal; however, there is no set time limit to make the switch.

- 3. ***Treasurer's Report*** – D. Rubinow presented the Treasurer's Report to Council and reminded that the ACNP fiscal year runs April 1 – March 31<sup>st</sup>. He advised that our current three investment accounts each total approximately \$5M. We have two with investment advisors and one account being managed by D. Kupfer, D. Rubinow and S. Timm. The Dow and NASDAQ both dropped in 2020; however, thanks to the conservative strategies, the College has only seen a minimal drop. D. Rubinow noted that the accounts with Olimpio Neu, Wells Fargo and Vanguard are well balanced, diversified, and designed to generate income from preferred stocks and bonds. The total cash and investments are around \$20 million. D. Rubinow also reminded Council that ACNP owns the land and building of the Executive Office. The other slides D. Rubinow presented were the total net assets, the ACNP and PMG consolidated net assets, and the revenue and expenses for the College. He advised that most of the expenses are attributed to PMG and personnel represent the vast majority of expenses. L. Brady thanked D. Rubinow for an outstanding report and thanked PMG for their successes in another productive year for the College.

S. Timm presented the updated 2021 Meetings Budget as the audio-visual fees are going to be an additional \$80,000 more than what was originally forecasted with the hybrid meeting. We are going to make up some of the difference in the additional registrations as this year has seen record attendance. S. Timm advised that if the College continues with a hybrid meeting format in the future, we should see a decrease in audio-visual fees. It was advised that more techs will be trained in hybrid meetings as meetings continue in the hybrid format. S. Timm noted that Council will discuss in their Wednesday Council meeting if they would like to continue the Annual Meeting Task Force to consider if we should continue a virtual aspect to our annual meetings.

It was stated that if we have more money than what it would take to sustain the College, we should continue to invest in mission driven initiatives. It was also questioned if there are specific stocks the College will invest in, and that they expected more index funds. D. Kupfer and S. Timm advised that the College follows the Investment Policy in the Policy Manual on what we will and will not invest in. S. Timm noted the investment advisors have this policy as well. It was requested to have the Audit/Finance Committee review our current investments and our investment policy in 2022.

4. ***Use of Funds Report*** – D. Rubinow reviewed the Use of Funds report listing the special projects Council has approved for this current fiscal year. The total income from dividends and interest in our investment portfolio is also indicated. He advised that of the \$15,000 allocated to journal initiatives, only approximately \$11,895 has currently been spent or obligated for awards. It is likely that the remainder of those funds will not be used this year. B. Carlezon has requested for the remaining funds to be used for the NPP Special Projects team and Social Media Editor. The dividends and interest income on this spreadsheet reflect the actual income YTD through October and projected through December. We have only used income from dividends and interest to fund our special projects without the need to cash in any securities that would take capital gains. D. Rubinow advised that historically we have allocated between \$200K and \$300K to fund our special projects. It was questioned if we should choose a percentage such as 1.5% from the total budget instead. S. Timm noted that as the 2020 Annual Meeting was virtual, we are paying travel funds for the 2020 and 2021 Travel Awardees this year.

- ***American Psychiatric Association*** – S. Timm reviewed the proposal from the American Psychiatric Association on support for their APA Research Colloquium. She reminded Council that the College approved to provide financial support for the APA Colloquium through 2021 and this proposal would be funding for \$20K from 2022-2024. She advised that the APA received a NIDA grant that covers 95% of the funds. It was noted that Diana Clarke is trying to diversify the attendees of the program. The APA Colloquium also hosts a booster session during the ACNP Annual Meeting. There was a motion and second to approve the funding request. All of Council were in favor of approving.
- ***ACNP Support for American Brain Coalition*** – S. Timm reviewed the proposal from the American Brain Coalition on educational support in 2022. S. Timm reminded Council that the College has always provided support for ABC in the past, and ABC is our connection to advocacy on the hill. The ABC is proposing that we support them financially in their BRAIN Initiative Advocacy and Education, congressional visit to the National Institutes of Health (NIH), the FDA Neuroscience of Excellence, and Congressional Neuroscience Caucus Briefings. The ABC is asking for financial support of \$15,000 in 2022. There was a motion and second. All of Council were in favor of approving.

***L. Brady, R. Valentino, and E. Leibenluft were recused from the ABC proposal.***

- ***Foundation for Biomedical Research Donation Request*** – S. Timm reviewed the proposal from the Foundation for Biomedical Research for a tax-deductible contribution which will allow the FBR to continue their programs to educate the public about the necessity of animals in research. They have requested support of \$6K for their main initiative, “Love Animals? Support Animal Research” campaign. S. Timm reminded Council that we have supported this campaign the past few years. There was a motion and second. All of Council were in favor of approving.

5. ***ATAI Life Sciences Participating Corporation Application*** – Council reviewed the ATAI Life Sciences participating corporation application. There was a motion and a second. All of Council were in favor of approving. It was stated that this company is an example of others that Council would like to see involved in our participating corporation program and were hopeful that other similar companies would see this and want to also join.

***M. Oquendo and D. Pizzagalli were recused from the vote for the ATAI Life Sciences participating corporation application.***

Council requested to review the list of current participating corporations and the companies that the Liaison Committee have suggested to contact. S. Timm suggested for the Liaison Committee to create a sub-committee and others keyed in with industry. This will be discussed further during the Wednesday Council meeting.

6. ***Executive Office Report*** – S. Timm reviewed the Executive Office report. As of December 4<sup>th</sup>, we have 2042 registered for the meeting which is a record-breaking year. There are currently 42% registered for virtual attendance. S. Timm noted that Council will be discussing the registration cap of 2,000, and how to plan for future meetings with in-person vs. hybrid during Wednesday's Council meeting. S. Timm reported that ACNP staff have spent time working on the hybrid meeting platform and the inaugural URM Near Peer Mentorship program. S. Timm reported that over the last five years, the College's net assets have increased from \$11M to \$21.4M. PMG continues to help with this growth and is donating 10% of its pretax income (\$92K) in 2020 to the College for mission worthy initiatives. Also, PMG has paid 100% of the long-term payable to the College with 3.5% interest over the last three years. S. Timm reported that PMG is now a debt free organization. Lastly, S. Timm advised that staff are continuously looking for ways to keep ACNP relevant with posting on social media, etc., and new ways to communicate to our members and meeting attendees so that the College can remain relevant to the next generation.
7. ***PMG Report*** – S. Timm reviewed the PMG report. She advised that PMG now has 67 employees in 7 states and are managing 18 association management clients. S. Timm reported that they are making efforts to continually improve the culture of PMG and have implemented Culture Index, which is an assessment that has helped PMG extensively in hiring and promoting leaders at PMG. PMG has a 97% retention rate. PMG has also implemented a new Level 5 Leadership Program that displays a powerful mixture of personal humility and indomitable will. The program is six months long with staff reading six books with intensive discussions on how to incorporate what we learn from the books into our client's work and our work. S. Timm reported that PMG had another record-breaking year projecting pre-tax profit before taxes and profit sharing of \$1.2M. PMG added two new board members, C. Neill Epperson and Guy Goodwin, this year. It was questioned with the company growing, how is PMG addressing diversity. S. Timm advised that staff has diversity training planned in the 2<sup>nd</sup> quarter of 2022. She also stated that when PMG has an open position, the position is first posted on job boards that are targeted to African American and Hispanic potential applicants two weeks before it is open to the public. S. Timm reported that PMG currently has four African American employees.



PMG's Big Hairy Audacious Goal (BHAG) is "to become the Association Management Company (AMC) known for the best employee development in the industry". Before the pandemic, PMG's aim was 100% carbon neutral meetings and will continue post pandemic. PMG is working with North Pole to manage, and S. Timm will provide updates to Council.

8. ***Diversity and Inclusion Task Force Report and Proposal*** – Council reviewed the Diversity and Inclusion Task Force report to Council. The report noted that URM members in the College has increased from 4% to 6% of total membership and has been around 4-5% over the past few years. The URM applicants for Travel Awards have grown from 25 applicants to 63 applicants with 17 receiving travel awards this year. There were 26 URM applicants that did not receive a travel award who received an invitation to this year's meeting with waived registration. URM presenters in the annual meeting have increased from 14 to 62 speakers. The Program Committee has been encouraging chairs to include URM in their submissions. S. Timm noted that that our system now allows individuals to multi-select ethnicities, such as White/Hispanic, etc. so the College did see a jump this year with this new option. S. Timm noted that the URM representation that shows in the report does not include scientists with a disability or LGBTQ+. Council discussed if the Diversity and Inclusion Task Force should now be considered a committee. Council agreed URM representation is an important part of our strategic plan and faces a much larger challenge than representation from women. There was a motion and second to move this task force into a committee, and all of Council approved. The Executive Office will send a letter from L. Brady and C. Zarate advising the Diversity and Inclusion Committee. There was discussion on if the Women's Task Force should also move to a committee. Council will consult first with the Women's Task Force chairs on their feedback if they should become a committee.
9. ***Latin American Task Force Report*** – Council reviewed the Latin American Task Force report to Council. Council was reminded that 46 invitations were extended to faculty and students at the University of Puerto Rico at a discounted registration rate. As the annual meeting will be in Arizona in 2022, Council suggested to research underrepresented universities in Arizona, Utah, and New Mexico to provide meeting invitations to. The Diversity and Inclusion Committee will be charged with researching the universities in this area. It was questioned if the Latin American Task Force is still needed as its own task force or should we include this task force as a sub-group within the Diversity and Inclusion Task Force. It was noted that A. Frazer has done a great job chairing over the past few years and he has agreed to chair for an additional year, but the task force has struggled with the number of Latin American scientists accepted for travel awards, in annual meeting presentations and in membership applications. Council agreed that the efforts of the task force would be diluted if it is merged into the Diversity and Inclusion Committee. As there is still work to do in Latin America, Council voted to continue this task force; however, suggested to charge the task force with more strict benchmarks to see how the progress is evolving on an annual basis. It was also noted that a global effort would be to include scientists from Africa, Asia, and European countries.

**10. Constitution and Rules Committee Proposals** – H. Mayberg discussed the following proposals from the Constitution and Rules Committee.

- a.** Nominating Committee Process – The proposal for the Nominating Committee process is to request Fellows to express their interest in serving on the Nominating Committee instead of Council members choosing names to try to encourage diversity. Council focused their efforts in selecting URM candidates to be on the Nominating Committee ballot in 2021. Note that highly viable URM candidates that were elected to the Nominating Committee were not allowed to be placed on the ballot for Council.
- b.** Proposal for apprenticeship/internship role of Associate Member(s) on Council – The Constitution and Rules Committee are proposing the addition of an apprenticeship/internship role as an opportunity for Associate Members to learn what is involved, how Council works and to provide an Associate Member's perspective to Council discussions. This proposal has been discussed in recent years and there has been a lot of interest for Associate Members to be elected to Council to help facilitate growth and change. As Associate Members cannot vote in the College, they would not have voting privileges on Council; however, would be able to participate in discussions. The proposal for the term would be for one year voted on by the associate member class.
- c.** Proposal for Diversity and Inclusion Officer – The Constitution and Rules Committee is proposing the addition of a Diversity and Inclusion Officer to the Officers and Council of the College. The role of this Officer would be to ensure all decisions made by leadership are through a lens of diversity and inclusion. This individual would need to be a Fellow of the College. The term would be for two years with the option to renew for one additional two-year term. The individual would be a voting member of the Council and the Executive Committee.

Council discussed the proposals and voted to approve the proposal for the Nominating Committee. They requested for the list of Fellows who are interested in being placed on the ballot to be provided to Council prior to the summer Council meeting and for a discussion to be placed on the agenda to finalize the ballot. S. Timm advised that the Constitution and Rules Committee was interested in having two Associate Members on Council for one-year terms. Council approved this proposal. Council discussed the proposal of the Diversity and Inclusion Officer and agreed it was the next step in the right direction; however, asked for more clarification on how the URM Fellows would be nominated and placed on the ballot. S. Timm volunteered to draft the proposal for the bylaws change for Council's review and finalize the details with the Constitution and Rules Committee. Council requested for all new Council members and the new Associate Members on Council and new Diversity and Inclusion Officer if approved by the membership to have an orientation before they officially start. R. Valentino also suggested that since we are a College, instead of referring to the College as being "exclusive", we should start saying "meritorious". Council requested for information to be placed on the ACNP website about the purpose of the College and use "meritorious" in the description.

**11. Committee Submissions to the Program Committee for Consideration in the Annual Meetings** – S. Timm reminded Council that the annual meeting program did have a slotted session for the Animal Research and Ethics Committee in the recent past. Now each committee can submit their session proposal to the Program Committee competing with other scientific proposals for approval on the annual meeting program. S. Timm advised that there have recently been a few years where the committee's session proposal was not accepted on the program which left some frustrated committee members and questioned if we should provide set sessions for them in the program. Council was opposed with this as we already have a packed agenda and advised that committees can submit a virtual commentary if they are not selected for the annual meeting program. Council was in favor of proceeding with the current process.

**12. Nomenclature Workgroup** – D. Kupfer provided the history to Council on how the Nomenclature workgroup was established with ACNP, CINP, and ECNP choosing the topic of nomenclature and the stigma attached to words and the language used in textbooks. After discussion with L. Brady, the nomenclature group decided to draft open-ended questions to survey the ACNP membership. The draft questions are placed in two sections with questions consisting of background and NbN. D. Kupfer advised that the group would also like to survey the membership of ASCP after the survey to ACNP. Council also suggested to survey ASPET, SOBP and CINP. E. Shaw will send the survey questions to Council after the annual meeting and request any edits to the questions before surveying the membership.

**L. Brady requested for Council members to read the below information items and raise any questions to Council during the Wednesday Council meeting:**

**13. Submitted Committee Reports** – Council was provided the submitted committee reports.

**14. Women's Task Force Report** – Council was provided the Women's Task Force report.

**15. ACNP Website Report** – Council was provided the ACNP Website report.

**16. NNCI Update** – Council was provided the update from the last quarter on the jointly funded NNCI project between ACNP, SOBP, and the Deeda Blair Initiative.

**17. Practice Guideline for the Treatment of Patients with Eating Disorders** – Council was provided the feedback to the Practice Guideline for the Treatment of Patients with Eating Disorders from ACNP member Frank Guido to Saul Levin, American Psychiatric Association.

The meeting concluded at 1:30 PM Atlantic Standard Time.